



## Lost to follow-Up: implications for tuberculosis control in Nigeria

Nwoga H O\*

Department of Community Medicine, College of Medicine, Enugu State University of Science and Technology, Enugu State, Nigeria

### Abstract

**Background:** Loss to Follow-Up (LTFU) from Tuberculosis (TB) treatment is a significant barrier to TB control, a major public health problem and a predictor of TB-associated deaths. Currently, research on LTFU-related factors in Nigeria is both scarce and inconsistent. The study aimed to assess the magnitude of LTFU to tuberculosis treatment and associated factors among patients that accessed care at a tertiary health facility in Nigeria.

**Methods:** The study was a 5-year retrospective study of patients that accessed TB care at the health facility. All the data was retrieved from the patient's folder and analyzed with SPSS version 25. Descriptive statistics was done and presented as mean and standard deviation, frequencies and percentages. Bivariate analysis was done with Chi-square test to test for the socio-demographic characteristics associated with LTFU. Significance level was placed at p-value  $\leq 0.05$ .

**Results:** A total of 483 patient's folders were reviewed. Of these, 150 (31.1%) were LTFU. Ethnicity was the only factor that significantly affected LTFU. However, higher proportion of those LTFU were males, resides in the rural area, employed, HIV positive and with previous history of TB

**Conclusion:** Loss to follow-up is common in the management of patients with TB. Patients' treatment history, clinical characteristics, and socioeconomic factors can affect this treatment outcome. Targeted measures can improve patient treatment adherence, leading to reduction in LTFU and better TB control

**Keywords:** Tuberculosis, Loss-To-Follow-Up, Nigeria, Enugu state, treatment outcome

### Introduction

Tuberculosis (TB) is a communicable disease that affects primarily the lungs as pulmonary TB as well as other organs of human body as Extra pulmonary TB. Despite decline in incidence of TB in some countries, TB still remains among the top 10 causes of mortality worldwide [1]. Globally, in 2019 approximately 10 million people developed TB while 1.4 million died with Nigeria accounting for 4.4% of these cases [2]. The World Health Organization (WHO) stated that African and Southeastern Asian regions accounted for 85% of TB deaths in both HIV-negative and HIV-positive persons [3].

Despite the expansion of DOTS services, many TB patients are still failing to complete their treatment and achieve a cure [4, 5]. This presents serious health and social implications not only for the patients themselves as it can lead to prolonged illness, development of clinical complications, development of drug resistance, and premature death, but it can also lead to the spread and outbreaks of drug-resistant bacilli in their families, communities, and health service providers [6]. Various factors have been reported to contribute to Loss-To-Follow-Up (LTFU) on TB treatment and these includes being male, alcohol abuse among men, living in rural areas, advancing age, distance from healthcare facilities, migration to another country, moving within the country, and weight loss [4, 7, 8]. The proportion of LTFU varied considerably between different countries, different types of TB, and different patient populations. Studies from different parts of the world reported different rates of LTFU; South Ethiopia (11.2%) [9], Jimma, Ethiopia (13.5%) [4], China (6.8%) [7], Georgia (12.5%) [7], Brazil (18.1%) [10], and as high as 44.9% in rural Northern Mozambique [11]. In Malaysia, the prevalence of LTFU ranged from 4.0 to 4.8% in the years 2010-2015

among the general TB population [12] but has increased to 5.6% by 2020 [13].

The estimated number of deaths from TB increased from 1.4 million to 1.6 million between 2019 and 2021 worldwide [2]. LTFU from TB treatment is a threat faced by TB control in Nigeria and remains a leading cause of these deaths [14]. The World Health Organization's (WHO) global TB report of 2021, states that the proportion of LTFU has persisted at 6% from 2012 to 2019 worldwide. With these are a significant number of LTFU cases [14] resulting in treatment failure or death, disease transmission in households and communities, and drug resistance [15]. Several challenges mitigate against the decline of TB incidence in Nigeria, including the high proportion of patients who are LTFU, nationwide socioeconomic imbalance, and high prevalence of latent TB infection.

According to the WHO's Definitions and Reporting Framework for Tuberculosis – 2013 Revision, which was updated in 2021, LTFU in patients with TB is defined as "a TB patient who did not start treatment or whose treatment was interrupted for two consecutive months or longer." [16] A study reported that the factors for increasing LTFU in TB patients were negative attitudes toward treatment, limited social support, dissatisfaction with health services, and limited economic status [17]. Another study conducted in Namibia reported that male gender, age group 15-24 years, treatment service providers, TB intensive phase patients, and living in border/transit areas were factors for LTFU in TB patients [18]. A systematic review and meta-analysis study reported that multidrug resistance, and the anatomic location of tuberculosis were significant factors in the high prevalence of LTFU in TB patients [19]. LTFU is one of the reasons for the development of acquired Drug Resistance Tuberculosis (DR-TB). TB Patients who are readmitted after LTFU are more likely to redevelop infectious active

TB and are at higher risk of developing further drug resistant strains of tuberculosis [20]. A report on the economic challenges of TB drug non-adherence states that an estimated 52 MDR-TB patients lost to follow-up resulted in 5 patients developing XDR-TB, 3 newly infected MDR-TB and a new XDR-TB, and 3 deaths [19]. One of the leading cause of treatment failure are patients who discontinue their TB treatment too early [19]. LTFU can also increase the risk of clinical deterioration, treatment failure, and further complications in tuberculosis patients.

Knowledge of the magnitude of LTFU and its associated factors is essential for successful TB control and the optimal delivery of healthcare services in resource-poor settings.

However, there are no published data on the proportion of LTFU and its associated factors among patients enrolled in first-line tuberculosis treatment in the current study area. Therefore, this study assessed the magnitude of LTFU in tuberculosis treatment and associated factors among adults attending a public health facility in Nigeria.

## Materials and methods

### Study area

The study was conducted at the chest and TB clinic of Enugu State University of Science and Technology Teaching Hospital (ESUT-TH) Park Lane Enugu, Nigeria where patients with tuberculosis receive treatment. This clinic offers TB services for patients from within and outside Enugu State. It also accepts referral from primary and secondary health facilities within and outside the state.

### Study design

The study was a 5 year retrospective cross-sectional study (2018-2022) of all TB cases treated at the chest and TB clinic.

### Study population

All the patients that received TB treatment within the 5 year period

### Inclusion criteria

Patients with confirmed TB.

Patients that received TB treatment at the center between 2018 and 2022.

### Exclusion criteria

Cases with incomplete information on treatment outcomes.

### Data collection methods

All the information were retrieved from the patients folders at the chest and TB clinic and entered into a pro forma

### Statistical analysis

All the collected data were imputed into SPSS version 25. Descriptive statistics was done and presented as mean and standard deviation, frequencies and percentages. Bivariate analysis was done with Chi-square test to test for associations between the socio-demographic characteristics and LTFU with significance level placed at p-value  $\leq 0.05$ .

### Ethical clearance

Obtained from the research ethics committee of ESUTH Parklane Enugu. Anonymity was maintained by not writing names on the study materials. Confidentiality was maintained by keeping all the generated data in a safe box.

## Results

A total of 483 patients were used for the study.

**Table 1:** Background characteristics of the TB patients

| Variable               | Frequency         | Percent |
|------------------------|-------------------|---------|
| Year                   |                   |         |
| 2018                   | 90                | 18.6    |
| 2019                   | 84                | 17.4    |
| 2020                   | 86                | 17.8    |
| 2021                   | 97                | 20.1    |
| 2022                   | 126               | 26.1    |
| Age (years)            |                   |         |
| Mean $\pm$ SD          | 38.91 $\pm$ 17.39 |         |
| Age in groups (years)  |                   |         |
| 0-9                    | 14                | 2.9     |
| 10-19                  | 41                | 8.5     |
| 20-29                  | 99                | 20.5    |
| 30-39                  | 120               | 24.8    |
| 40-49                  | 83                | 17.2    |
| 50-59                  | 55                | 11.4    |
| 60-69                  | 38                | 7.9     |
| $\geq 70$              | 33                | 6.8     |
| Gender                 |                   |         |
| Male                   | 299               | 61.9    |
| Female                 | 184               | 38.1    |
| Residence              |                   |         |
| Rural                  | 169               | 35.0    |
| Urban                  | 314               | 65.0    |
| Educational level      |                   |         |
| None                   | 15                | 3.1     |
| Primary completed      | 109               | 22.6    |
| Secondary completed    | 223               | 46.2    |
| Tertiary completed     | 136               | 28.2    |
| Occupation             |                   |         |
| Civil/public servants  | 42                | 8.7     |
| Traders                | 133               | 27.5    |
| Crafts/artisans        | 86                | 17.8    |
| Farmers                | 34                | 7.0     |
| Unemployed             | 111               | 23.0    |
| Students               | 77                | 15.9    |
| Marital status         |                   |         |
| Single                 | 207               | 42.9    |
| Married                | 249               | 51.6    |
| Divorced/separated     | 4                 | 0.8     |
| Widow/widower          | 23                | 4.8     |
| Ethnicity              |                   |         |
| Igbo                   | 461               | 95.4    |
| Hausa                  | 13                | 2.7     |
| Yoruba                 | 1                 | 0.2     |
| Others                 | 8                 | 1.7     |
| Living condition       |                   |         |
| With family            | 385               | 79.7    |
| With relatives         | 37                | 7.7     |
| Alone                  | 44                | 9.1     |
| College/University     | 17                | 3.5     |
| HIV status             |                   |         |
| Positive               | 140               | 29.0    |
| Negative               | 343               | 71.0    |
| Previous history of TB |                   |         |
| Yes                    | 54                | 11.2    |
| No                     | 429               | 88.8    |

Table 1 shows the background characteristics of the TB patients used for the study. More of the cases were seen in 2022 (26.1%). The mean age was 38.91±17.39. Most of the cases were in the 30-39 year age group (24.8%). About 2/3 of them were males (61.9%) and urban dwellers (65.0%). Most had secondary education (46.2%) and traders (27.5%). About half of them were married (51.6%) while almost all

were Igbos (95.4%). About 29.0% were HIV positive while 11.2% had previous history of TB.

**Table 2:** Loss to Follow Up

| Variable | Frequency | Percentage |
|----------|-----------|------------|
| LTFU     | 150       | 31.1       |
| Not LTFU | 333       | 68.9       |

Table 2 shows that the prevalence of LTFU was 31.1%

**Table 3:** Bivariate analysis of LTFU and socio-demographic characteristics

| Variable               | LTFU      |           | X <sup>2</sup> | P value |
|------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------------|---------|
|                        | Yes       | No        |                |         |
| Year                   |           |           |                |         |
| 2018                   | 28 (31.1) | 62(68.9)  | 4.416          | 0.353   |
| 2019                   | 19(22.6)  | 65(77.4)  |                |         |
| 2020                   | 29(33.7)  | 57(66.3)  |                |         |
| 2021                   | 29(29.9)  | 68(70.1)  |                |         |
| 2022                   | 45(35.7)  | 81(64.3)  |                |         |
| Age                    |           |           |                |         |
| <30                    | 43(27.9)  | 111(72.1) | 1.037          | 0.309   |
| >30                    | 107(32.5) | 222(67.5) |                |         |
| Gender                 |           |           |                |         |
| Male                   | 96(32.1)  | 203(67.9) | 0.405          | 0.525   |
| Female                 | 54(29.3)  | 130(70.7) |                |         |
| Residence              |           |           |                |         |
| Rural                  | 56(33.1)  | 113(66.9) | 0.525          | 0.469   |
| Urban                  | 94(29.9)  | 220(70.1) |                |         |
| Educational level      |           |           |                |         |
| None                   | 3(20.0)   | 12(80.0)  | 4.564          | 0.207   |
| Primary completed      | 37(33.9)  | 72(66.1)  |                |         |
| Secondary              | 76(34.1)  | 147(65.9) |                |         |
| Tertiary               | 34(25.0)  | 102(75.0) |                |         |
| Occupation             |           |           |                |         |
| Employed               | 99(33.6)  | 196(66.4) | 2.218          | 0.136   |
| Unemployed             | 51(27.1)  | 137(72.9) |                |         |
| Marital status         |           |           |                |         |
| Single                 | 62(30.0)  | 145(70.0) | 4.043          | 0.257   |
| Married                | 79(31.7)  | 170(68.3) |                |         |
| Divorced/separated     | 3(75.0)   | 1(25.0)   |                |         |
| Widowed                | 6(26.1)   | 17(73.9)  |                |         |
| Ethnicity              |           |           |                |         |
| Igbo                   | 138(29.9) | 323(70.1) | 6.666          | 0.036*  |
| Hausa                  | 8(61.5)   | 5(38.5)   |                |         |
| Others                 | 4(44.4)   | 5(55.6)   |                |         |
| Living condition       |           |           |                |         |
| With family            | 113(29.4) | 272(70.6) | 4.522          | 0.210   |
| With relatives         | 15(40.5)  | 22(59.5)  |                |         |
| Alone                  | 18(40.9)  | 26(59.1)  |                |         |
| College/University     | 4(23.5)   | 13(76.5)  |                |         |
| HIV status             |           |           |                |         |
| Positive               | 47(33.6)  | 93(66.4)  | 0.583          | 0.445   |
| Negative               | 103(30.0) | 240(70.0) |                |         |
| Previous history of TB |           |           |                |         |
| Yes                    | 20(37.0)  | 34(63.0)  | 1.016          | 0.314   |
| No                     | 130(30.3) | 299(69.7) |                |         |

\* Significant value

Table 3 shows that only ethnicity significantly affected the LTFU [p=0.036] in this study.

**Discussions**

TB control continues to be a public health burden both in Nigeria and worldwide as its complexity remains a source of much concern. LTFU is a common finding with diseases that have long treatment time and chronic diseases. Most patients without good treatment supporters or those stigmatized can easily be loss- to-follow-up [21].

In our study, the overall LTFU was 31.1% while the remaining 68.9% were either cured, completed treatment or died during the treatment period. This was lower than what was reported in a similar study from Adamawa, Northern Nigeria (53.3%) [22], Lagos South-west Nigeria (40%) [23] and Mozambique (44.9%) [11] but higher than the report of another study from Delta state Southern Nigeria where the

LTFU was 12.9% over a five year period [24]. Other Studies from South Ethiopia (11.2%) [9], Jimma, Ethiopia (13.5%) [4], Georgia (12.5%) [7] and Brazil (18.1%) [10], also reported lower prevalence of LTFU. The differences observed in these studies may be attributed to the general challenges observed in health care services delivery, including TB control.

This calls for specific strategies to be incorporated into the medium and long-term strategic plans for TB control to reduce the burden of LTFU both nationally and at regional levels. These strategies should include building capacity in patient tracing and providing support for patients at risk of LTFU.

On bivariate analysis, only ethnicity significantly affected the LTFU rate. About 61.5% of the Hausa ethnic group of Northern Nigeria were LTFU. This was not surprising as many of them are migrant workers and can easily change place of residence. This may also be explained by the fact that many of them live alone with no constant source of income for transportation to health facilities to access their TB medications. This can be related to the report of a similar study in Northern Nigeria where 53.3% of the patients were LTFU [22].

Although, other socio-demographic characteristics did not show any statistically significant association, a higher proportion of those age >30 years were LTFU. This was consistent with the report of other studies that older patients are more likely to be LTFU than the younger ones [22, 25]. This could be explained by the fact that these elderly people may have other comorbidities, suffer from overall physiological decline with age, are less able to access health care due to distance, and are generally poorer than younger populations due to poor income. Contrary to our finding, a study from Namibia [18], Malaysia [26] and India [27] reported higher rate of LTFU among the younger patients. These younger persons may not want to be associated with TB especially among their peers and hence may stop their TB medications for fear of stigma.

More males were also LTFU compared to the females. Other studies corroborated our finding [18, 27-29]. Moreover, young males in LMICs have been reported to be at a higher risk of unemployment, HIV, alcohol and drug abuse, smoking, multiple sexual partners that predispose then to TB and poor outcomes, such as LTFU [4].

Those that live in rural areas had a higher rate of LTFU when compared to those that resided in the urban area. This can be explained by the long distance that the rural dwellers must travel before coming to access their TB medications. Studies have reported that patients who travel long distance >10km had higher risk of LTFU compared to those who travelled <10km [30, 31]. A large study conducted in Namibia reported that proximity to DOTS access points was related to a lower level of LTFU [18]. The greater the distance, the higher the LTFU rate, particularly for patients living outside the city [30]. Furthermore, economic constraints of transportation costs may also have justified how distance was associated with increasing LTFU [32]. This finding highlights the need to prioritize structural barriers and social determinants of TB care that are often overlooked in conventional TB care.

More of the patients with primary and secondary education were LTFU when compared to those with tertiary education. Other studies corroborated the finding [13, 31]. A similar study identified education as one of the individual factors that

increased the risk of LTFU. Adult patients who just completed primary school had a strong positive association with LTFU. Other studies reported that a few years of schooling [33, 34], and poor knowledge of TB [35] were associated with an increased risk of LTFU. It's also likely that this sub-group of patients may hold misconceptions or easily get deceived by misinformation about TB. Therefore, providing health education to patients that match their level of understanding could be helpful.

More of the employed patients were LTFU when compared to the unemployed. This can be due to the fact that that majority of the employed patients are being exposed to social and work stresses, which can sustain negative impacts and contribute to a high risk of LTFU. Other studies corroborated our finding [36, 37]. In contrast other studies reported that unemployment is a risk factor for LTFU [38, 39]. The reasons may be due to lack of money for transportation, the need to work despite illness, and no one supporting them to obtain their medication [40]. This shows that socioeconomic support remains an important aspect of TB treatment and control in ensuring the continuation of TB treatment. Despite the fact that anti-TB treatment is free to all TB patients, some out-of-pocket expenditures still exist, especially cost related to transportation. An average of (104.87 USD) out-of-pocket money per patient has been estimated in order to complete a 6-month TB treatment [41]. Any intervention that could reduce the cost of TB treatment will help to improve patients' compliance with TB treatment and reduce LTFU.

Furthermore, the indirect costs incurred by TB patients on treatment include reduced income or a lower proportion of household income, which can lead to deeper poverty. A previous research reported that the costs incurred when a person does not work while on treatment account for 67% of the total costs incurred by TB patients [42].

Majority of the patients that were divorced/separated were LTFU. This can be explained by so many factors ranging from economic, psychological, social stigma, social support and depression. Similar studies reported that being single is an independent risk factor for LTFU [28, 29].

Higher proportion of patients that were living alone were LTFU when compared with those that were living with their family. It has been reported that lack of family support among TB patients was associated with over a two-fold increase in the risk of LTFU compared with those who had family support during treatment. Other studies have emphasized the importance of family and psychological support from healthcare workers to reduce or prevent LTFU from TB care [32, 43]. In countries like Nigeria, these supports including finance from family members is essential for successful TB treatment and subsequent decline in LTFU.

In our study, higher proportion of TB/HIV co-infected patients were LTFU when compared to HIV negative patients. TB and HIV co-infection has been reported to be linked to a high rate of ineffective TB treatment, including LTFU. [44] These TB patients with HIV take numerous drugs, thus, they may have poor adherence to treatment resulting in LTFU. In addition to TB treatment, patient with TB/HIV co-infection need to get HIV care and this may lead to interruption of TB treatment. This may be due to the associated difficulty in attending two clinics especially if both clinics are not located within the same hospital. Similar studies have reported some factors associated with LTFU among TB/HIV co-infected patients and they include pill

burden, believe in efficacy of TB treatment, side effects of drugs, failure of health workers to counsel patients of the potential side effects, HIV related stigma and discrimination and lack of disclosure [32, 45, 46].

More patients with previous history of TB were LTFU when compared to new cases. Other studies reported similar findings [36, 47]. This finding shows that TB cases that were LTFU previously are more likely to be LTFU again. Thus this group of patients should be given more health education, especially before the commencement of treatment. Follow up reminders should also be intensified while patients are on treatment. In addition, the higher frequency of LTFU in patients with previous history of TB may be attributed to psychological distress, lack of social support, stigma, and negative attitudes toward treatment [17, 48, 49]. These sub-group of patients are also at the highest risk for DR TB [45]. Therefore, it is essential to strengthen the management of patients that are being re-treated for TB before initiating treatment in order to reduce the incidence of drug resistant TB cases, deaths, and community transmission.

Our result findings provide important inputs to tailor interventions to the specific local needs to achieve the goal of ending TB by 2030, emphasizing factors that impact the rate of LTFU of patients on TB treatment [50].

### Limitations

The limitations in this retrospective study includes the fact that some of the factors that have been previously reported to affect LTFU were not accessed in our study. These factors included distance to health facilities, patient knowledge of tuberculosis, attitude toward treatment, and treatment beliefs.

### Conclusion

In conclusion, the study demonstrates a high prevalence LTFU among TB patients studied and this is above the global target of 0%. Ethnicity was significantly associated with LTFU and the group implicated are mainly unskilled migrant workers. This finding implies that main factors driving LTFU are related to socioeconomic status of the patients.

Hence socio-economic support for TB patients especially the migrant workers during their treatment period should be advocated for as this will reduce the rate of LTFU in the state. Also TB screening and education should be incorporated in workplaces and institutions that provide temporary employment (e.g. construction sites) for mobile young adults to enhance new cases detection and continuity of treatment for detected cases to improve treatment outcomes.

### References

- World Health Organization. Global tuberculosis report 2020. Geneva: World Health Organization:2020. Available at <http://apps.who.int/iris>. [Accessed 11/11 2023].
- Chakaya J, Khan M, Ntoumi F, Aklillu E, Fatima R, Mwaba P, *et al*. Global Tuberculosis Report 2020 – reflections on the global TB burden, treatment and prevention efforts. *Int J Infect Dis*,2021;113:S7–S12. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2021.02.107>
- WHO Africa. An Epidemiological Profile of HIV/AIDS, Tuberculosis and Malaria in Sub-Saharan Africa 2016. Available online at: <https://aphrc.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/10/Epi-brief-V2,7.-FINAL.pdf>. [Accessed 23/10/2023].
- Akessa GM, Tadesse M, Abebe G. Survival analysis of loss to follow-up treatment among tuberculosis patients at Jimma University Specialized Hospital, Jimma, Southwest Ethiopia. *Int J Stat Mech*,2015;923025. doi: 10.1155/2015/923025
- Sahile Z, Yared A, Kaba M. Patients' experiences and perceptions on associates of TB treatment adherence: a qualitative study on DOTS service in public health centers in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. *BMC Public Health*,2018;18:1–12. doi: 10.1186/s12889-018-5404-y
- Alene KA, Viney K, Gray DJ, McBryde ES, Wagnew MACA. Mapping tuberculosis treatment outcomes in Ethiopia. *BMC Infect Dis*,2019;19:474. doi: 10.1186/s12879-019-4099-8
- Adamashvili N, Akopyan K, Tukvadze N, Dumchev K, Sereda Y, Khonelidze I, *et al*. Factors associated with loss to follow-up among people with tuberculosis in the country of Georgia: a cohort study. *Monaldi Arch Chest Dis*,2021;91:1705. doi: 10.4081/monaldi.2021.1705
- Kigozi G, Heunis C, Chikobvu P, Botha S, van Rensburg D. Factors influencing treatment default among tuberculosis patients in a high burden province of South Africa. *Int J Infect Dis*,2017;54:95–102. doi: 10.1016/j.ijid.2016.11.407
- Teferi MY, Didana LD, Hailu T, Woldeesenbet SG, Bekele S, Mihret A, *et al*. Tuberculosis treatment outcome and associated factors among tuberculosis patients at Wolayta Sodo Teaching and Referral Hospital, Southern Ethiopia: a retrospective study. *J Public Health Res*,2021;10(3):2046. doi: 10.4081/jphr.2021.2046
- Lima SVM, de Araújo KCGM, Nunes MAP, Nunes C. Early identification of individuals at risk for loss to follow-up of tuberculosis treatment: a generalized hierarchical analysis. *Heliyon*,2021;7:e06788. doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2021.e06788
- Wikman-Jorgensen PE, Morales-Cartagena A, Llenas-García J, Pérez-Porcuna TM, Hobbins M, Ehmer J, *et al*. Implementation challenges of a TB programme in rural northern mozambique: evaluation of 2012–2013 outcomes. *Pathog Glob Health*,2015;109:221–7. doi: 10.1179/2047773215Y.0000000027
- NSPTB. National strategic plan for tuberculosis control 2016-2020. Ministry of Health:2016-2020.
- Tok PSK, Liew SM, Wong LP, Razali A, Loganathan T, Chinna K, *et al*. Determinants of unsuccessful treatment outcomes and mortality among tuberculosis patients in Malaysia: a registry-based cohort study. *PLoS One*,2020;15(4):e0231986. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0231986>
- World Health Organization. Global tuberculosis report 2021. Available at: <https://www.who.int/teams/global-tuberculosis-programme/tb-reports/global-tuberculosisreport-2021/>. [Accessed 14/01/24]
- Zawedde-Muyanja S, Musaaazi J, Manabe YC, Katamba A, Nankabirwa JI, Castelnuovo B, *et al*. Estimating the effect of pretreatment loss to follow up on TB associated mortality at public health facilities in

- Uganda. PLoS One,2020:15(11):e0241611. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0241611>
16. World Health Organization. Definitions and reporting framework for tuberculosis–2013 revision: Updated December 2014 and January 2020. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2013. 58:2100804. doi: 10.1183/13993003.00804-2021
  17. Soedarsono S, Mertaniasih NM, Kusmiati T, Permatasari A, Juliasih NN, Hadi C, *et al.* Determinant factors for loss to follow-up in drug-resistant tuberculosis patients: the importance of psycho-social and economic aspects. BMC Pulmonary Medicine,2021 Nov 10:21(1):360-367. doi: 10.1186/s12890-021-01735-9.
  18. Kibuule D, Aiasas P, Ruswa N, Rennie TW, Verbeeck RK, Godman B, *et al.* Predictors of loss to follow-up of tuberculosis cases under the DOTS programme in Namibia. ERJ Open Res,2020:6:00030-2019. [<https://doi.org/10.1183/23120541.00030-2019>].
  19. Andargie A, Molla A, Tadese F, Zewdie S. Lost to follow-up and associated factors among patients with drug resistant tuberculosis in Ethiopia: A systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS ONE,2021 Mar 18:16(3):e0248687. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0248687. eCollection 2021.
  20. Khan FU, Rehman AU, Khan FU, Hayat K, Khan A, Ahmad N, *et al.* Assessment of Factors Associated with Unfavorable Outcomes among Drug-Resistant TB Patients: A 6-Year Retrospective Study from Pakistan Int J Environ Res Public Health,2022:19(3):1574. doi: 10.3390/ijerph19031574
  21. Iweama CK, Agbaje OS, Umoke PCI, Igbokwe CC, Ozoemena EL, Omaka-Amari NL, *et al.* Nonadherence to tuberculosis treatment and associated factors among patients using directly observed treatment short-course in north-west Nigeria: A cross-sectional study. SAGE Open Medicine,2021:9:1–15. DOI: 10.1177/2050312121989497
  22. Okoro C, Bamigbala OA, Ojetunde AO, Ibrahim A. Risk Factors Associated with Treatment Default Among Tuberculosis Patients in Adamawa State, Nigeria. Galician medical journal, 2022, 29(2). E202221 DOI: 10.21802/gmj.2022.2.1
  23. Adejumo OA, Daniel OJ, Otesanya AF, Ashipa T, Adejumo EN, Abdur-Razzaq HA. Factors Affecting Treatment Interruption among TB Patients in Lagos Nigeria: Is There Any Need for Treatment Supporters? International Journal of Clinical Medicine,2016:7:389-398. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/ijcm.2016.76042>
  24. Onorikpori TO. Prevalence and treatment outcome of pulmonary tuberculosis in Eku Hospital, Delta state. Nsukka, Nigeria: University of Nigeria Virtual Library, 2014, 1-13.
  25. Alobu I, Oshi SN, Oshi DC, Ukwaja KN. Risk factors of treatment default and death among tuberculosis patients in a resource-limited setting. Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Medicine,2014:7(12):977–984. Available from: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1995-7645\(14\)60172-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1995-7645(14)60172-3)
  26. Liew SM, Khoo EM, Ho BK, Lee YK, Mimi O, Fazlina MY, *et al.* Tuberculosis in Malaysia: predictors of treatment outcomes in a national registry. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis,2015:19(7): 764–771. doi: 10.5588/ijtld.14.0767.
  27. Shringarpure KS, Isaakidis P, Sagili KD, Baxi RK. Loss-to-follow-up on multidrug-resistant tuberculosis treatment in Gujarat, India: the when and who of it. PLoS ONE,2015:10(7):e0132543. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0132543. eCollection 2015.
  28. Dooley KE, Lahlou O, Ghali I, Knudsen J, Elmessaoudi MD, Cherkaoui I, *et al.* Risk factors for tuberculosis treatment failure, default, or relapse and outcomes of retreatment in Morocco. BMC Public Health,2011:11(1):140. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-11-140>
  29. Maruza M, Militao Albuquerque MF, Coimbra I, ~ Moura LV, Montarroyos UR, Miranda Filho DB, *et al.* Risk factors for default from tuberculosis treatment in HIV-infected individuals in the state of Pernambuco, Brazil: a prospective cohort study. BMC Infectious Diseases,2011:11(1):351. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2334-11-351>
  30. Shaweno T, Getnet M, Fikru C. Does time to loss to follow-up differ among adult tuberculosis patients initiated on tuberculosis treatment and care between general hospital and health centers? A retrospective cohort study. Trop Med Health,2020:48(1):1–11
  31. Watumo D, Mengesha MM, Gobena T, Mathewos Alemu Gebremichael MA, Jerene D. Predictors of loss to follow-up among adult tuberculosis patients in Southern Ethiopia: a retrospective follow-up study. BMC Public Health,2022:22:976 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-022-13390-8>
  32. Gebremariam MK, Bjune GA, Frich JC. Barriers and facilitators of adherence to TB treatment in patients on concomitant TB and HIV treatment: a qualitative study. BMC Public Health,2010:10(1):1–9.
  33. Mukhtar F, Butt ZA. Establishing a cohort in a developing country: Experiences of the diabetes-tuberculosis treatment outcome cohort study. J Epidemiol Global Health,2017:7(4):249–54.
  34. Viana PVS, Redner P, Ramos JP. Factors associated with loss to followup and death in cases of drug-resistant tuberculosis (DR-TB) treated at a reference center in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. Cad Saude Publica,2018:34(5):e00048217
  35. Belchior AS, Mainbourg EMT, Ferreira-Gonçalves MJ. Loss to follow-up in tuberculosis treatment and its relationship with patients' knowledge of the disease and other associated factors. Rev Salud Publica (Bogota),2016:18(5):714–26.
  36. Jiang Y, Chen J, Ying M, Liu L, Li M, Lu S, *et al.* Factors associated with loss to follow-up before and after treatment initiation among patients with tuberculosis: A 5-year observation in China. Front. Med,2023:10:1136094. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2023.1136094
  37. Sharani ZZ, Ismail N, Yasin SM, Zakaria Y, Razali A, Demong NAR, *et al.* Characteristics and determinants of loss to follow-up among tuberculosis (TB) patients who smoke in an industrial state of Malaysia: a registry-based study of the years 2013-2017. BMC Public Health,2022:22:638 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-022-13020-3>
  38. Isaakidis P, Casas EC, Das M, Tseretopoulou X, Ntzani EE, Ford N. Treatment outcomes for HIV and MDR-TB co-infected adults and children: systematic review

- and meta-analysis. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis*,2015;19:969–78. doi: 10.5588/ijtld.15.0123
39. Onyoh EF, Kuaban C, Lin HH. Pre-treatment loss to follow-up of pulmonary tuberculosis patients in two regions of Cameroon. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis*,2018;22:378–84. doi: 10.5588/ijtld.17.0676
40. Cherkaoui I, Sabouni R, Ghali I, Kizub D, Billioux AC, Bennani K, *et al.* Treatment default amongst patients with tuberculosis in urban Morocco: predicting and explaining default and post-default sputum smear and drug susceptibility results. *PLoS One*,2014;9(4):e93574.
41. Atif M, Sulaiman SAS, Shafe AA, Asif M, Babar Z. Resource utilization pattern and cost of tuberculosis treatment from the provider and patient perspectives in the state of Penang, Malaysia. *BMC Health Services Research*,2014;14(1):353. doi: 10.1186/1472-6963-14-353.
42. Ameer R, Othman R. Sustainability practices and corporate financial performance: a study based on the top global corporations. *Journal of Business Ethics*,2012;108:61-79.
43. Santos E, Felgueiras Ó, Oliveira O, Duarte R. Factors associated with loss to follow-up in tuberculosis treatment in the Huambo Province, Angola. *Pulmonology*,2019;25(3):190–2.
44. Asres A, Jerene D, Deressa W. Delays to treatment initiation is associated with tuberculosis treatment outcomes among patients on directly observed treatment short course in Southwest Ethiopia: a follow-up study. *BMC Pulmonary Medicine*,2018;18(1):64. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12890-018-0628-2>
45. Tadesse T, Demissie M, Berhane Y, Kebede Y, Abebe M. Long Distance Travelling and Financial Burdens Discourage Tuberculosis DOTs Treatment Initiation and Compliance in Ethiopia: A Qualitative Study. *BMC Public Health*, 2013;13, 424. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-13-424>
46. Daniel OJ, Alausa OK. Treatment Outcome of TB/HIV Positive and TB/HIV Negative Patients on Directly Observed Treatment, Short Course (DOTS) in Sagamu, Nigeria. *NJM*, 2006;15, 222-226
47. Tola HH, Shojaeizadeh D, Garmaroudi G, Tol A, Yekaninejad MS, Ejeta LT, *et al.* Psychological distress and its effect on tuberculosis treatment outcomes in Ethiopia. *Glob Health Action*,2015;8:29019. doi: 10.3402/gha.v8.29019
48. Zhou TJ, Lakshminarayanan S, Sarkar S, Knudsen S, Horsburgh CR, Muthaiah M, *et al.* Predictors of loss to follow-up among men with tuberculosis in Puducherry and Tamil Nadu, India. *Am J Trop Med Hyg*,2020;103:1050–6. doi: 10.4269/ajtmh.19-0415
49. Dzeyie KA, Basu S, Dikid T, Bhatnagar AK, Chauhan LS, Narain JP. Epidemiological and behavioural correlates of drug-resistant tuberculosis in a tertiary care Centre, Delhi, India. *Indian J Tuberc*,2019;66:331–6. doi: 10.1016/j.ijt.2018.06.003
50. World Health Organization/Regional Office for Africa. Framework for implementing the “END TB STRATEGY” in the African region 2016-2020 2021 Available from: <https://www.afro.who.int/publications/frameworkimplementing-end-tb-strategy-african-region-2016-2020>.